

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/824,612	04/15/2004	Yun-Bok Lee	8734.293 US	6412	
30827 7	590 03/23/2006	EXAMINER			
	LONG & ALDRIDGE	NGUYEN, DUNG T			
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• 4								
		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)	m			
Office Action Summary		10/824,612	2	LEE, YUN-BOK	_			
		Examiner		Art Unit	,			
		Dung Nguy		2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ja</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is no	n-final. or formal matters, pro		merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-15 and 17 is/are wire Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8 and 16 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to be objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath of the	r election red er. epted or b) drawing(s) be ion is required	quirement.  objected to by the Ended in abeyance. See the din the drawing(s) is objected if the drawing(s) is objected.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/04:09/04:01/06</u> .		4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	152)			

Art Unit: 2871

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election without traverse of species A (claims 1-8 and 16) in the reply filed on 01/17/2006 is acknowledged.

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

2.

# Specification

3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Hirakata et al., US Patent No. 5,977,562.

The above claims are anticipated by Hirakata et al. figure 11 and accompanying text which disclose an in-plane switching type liquid crystal display (LCD) device comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/824,612

Art Unit: 2871

- . a gate line (101);
- . a data line (102);
- . a thin film transistor (100);
- . a common line (104);
- . a pixel electrode (331) as claimed;
- . a common electrode (332) as claimed;
- a liquid crystal layer inherently formed between the pixel electrode and the common electrode and having orientation directions different from neighboring domains (due to the shape of the pixel/common electrode).

It should be noted that the method of forming the device is merely a list of forming each component and each component must be formed to make the device; therefore, the method of for manufacturing would be inherent to the device.

## Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned

Application/Control Number: 10/824,612

Art Unit: 2871

with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-8 and 16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11, 15-17 of the US Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0128409. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both application and patent disclose a LCD device having a same in-plan switching type LCD, in which a pixel electrode, a common electrode having a ring-shaped and a capacitor electrode as claimed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/824,612

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN 03/20/2006 Dung Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2871